UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/773,785	02/06/2004	Eric Finzi	6863-67727	7913	
	7590 06/10/200 SPARKMAN, LLP	9	EXAMINER		
121 SW SALMON STREET			FORD, VANESSA L		
SUITE 1600 PORTLAND, OR 97204			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			1645		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/10/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	10/773,785	FINZI, ERIC	
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	VANESSA L. FORD	1645	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):		
(1) <u>Vanessa L. Ford, Examiner, art unit 1645</u> .	(3) <u>Susan Alpert Siegal</u> .		
(2) <u>Robert Mondesi, SPE, art unit 1645</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 27 May 2009.			
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)⊡ Video Conference c)⊡ Personal [copy given to: 1)⊡ applicant 2	2)∏ applicant's representative	·]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.		
Claim(s) discussed: <u>N/A</u> .			
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>N/A</u> .			
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g)∐ was not reached. h)⊠ N	I/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: A discussion was held rediscussion was held regarding the population of subjects examendments. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendallowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ANTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE SUBSTA	ments which the examiner agony of the amendments that was compared by the claims and the claims are claims are claims are claims and the claims are claims and the claims are claims and the claims are	reed would render the substance of the invention of potential claims are substance of the s	er the claims claims THE LICANT IS THIS LATER, TO
/Vanessa L. Ford/ Examiner, Art Unit 1645			

Application No.

Applicant(s)